contact dans les autres directions est de 3,47 Å entre un groupe CH benzénique et l'oxygène cétonique de la molécule translatée de la première.

Nous réservons pour une publication ultérieure la comparaison entre l'indigo, l'oxindigo et le thioindigo.

Nous tenons à remercier ici Mademoiselle C. Stora qui dirige le laboratoire de Chimie Cristallographique du C.N.R.S. où ce travail a été entrepris; le Prof. W. Lüttke de l'Université de Freiburg i. Br. qui a mis à notre disposition un échantillon d'oxindigo; l'Institut Européen de Calcul Scientifique patronné par I. B. M.-France (Place Vendôme, Paris) qui nous a gracieusement accordé le temps machine requis pour les calculs effectués sur ordinateur I.B.M. 704.

Bibliographie

- BEACH, J. Y. (1941). J. Chem. Phys. 9, 54.
- ELLER, G. VON (1955). Bull. Soc. Franç. Minér. Crist. 78, 275.
- ELLER-PANDRAUD, H. VON (1955). Bull. Soc. Chim. Franç., p. 1429.
- PASCARD-BILLY, C. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 519.
- PULLMANN, A. & B. (1952). Les Théories Electroniques de la Chimie Organique. Paris: Masson et Cie, Editeurs.
- SHOMAKER, V. & PAULING, L. (1939). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 61, 1769.

Acta Cryst. (1962). 15, 1139

The Crystal and Molecular Structure of *bis*-Thiosemicarbazidato-Nickel(II), (Red Crystals)

By Luigi Cavalca, Mario Nardelli and Giovanna Fava

Structural Chemistry Laboratory, Institute of Chemistry, University of Parma, Italy

(Received 9 February 1962)

Crystals of red bis-thiosemicarbazidato-nickel(II), $Ni(CH_4N_3S)_2$, are monoclinic, $P2_1/c$:

a = 7.68, b = 4.32, c = 12.23 Å, $\beta = 93.8^{\circ}, Z = 2$.

The structure has been determined by means of three-dimensional Fourier methods and refined by several cycles of Booth's differential synthesis.

The Ni atom coordinates *trans*-planarly two S and two N atoms at the distances: Ni–S = 2.155 ± 0.003 , Ni–N = 1.91 ± 0.01 Å. Bond distances indicate the following molecular configuration



The planes of the organic ligands are slightly tilted out of the coordination plane. No Ni–Ni bonding interaction is observed, nor does the Ni atom show any tendency to assume an octahedral coordination.

Introduction

bis-Thiosemicarbazidato-nickel(II), Ni(CH₄N₃S)₂, can be obtained as a grey powder by direct mixing of the components in aqueous ammonia solution (Jensen & Rancke-Madsen, 1934) or as small, red-brown crystals by slow action of ammonia gas on the neutral aqueous solution of the components (Nardelli & Boldrini, 1961). The products formed by these two methods have the same chemical formula, but give different X-ray diffraction patterns.

The diamagnetic behaviour of both compounds indicates that the complex molecules are planar. It is probable that in these two forms the same complex molecular entities are present with different packings, as the radial distribution function curves and the colours of their powders are very similar.

A complete X-ray structural analysis was possible only for the red crystals, since crystals of sufficient size for diffraction work could not be obtained from the grey powder.

Experimental

Red crystals of *bis*-thiosemicarbazidato-nickel(II) are very small monoclinic plates showing the three fundamental pinacoids. In polarized light the crystals exhibit pleochroism: observed normal to the plates, the colour is yellow when the electric vector is parallel to the longer edge, [010], and red when perpendicular. Crystal data were derived from rotating crystal, Weissenberg and precession photographs using [010] as rotation axis; they were improved by powder data (Cu Kx radiation monochromatized on a curved quartz plate).

Ni(CH₄N₃S)₂, M = 238.94. $a = 7.68 \pm 0.01$, $b = 4.32 \pm 0.01$, $c = 12.23 \pm 0.01$ Å, $\beta = 93.8 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$. V = 404.7 Å³, Z = 2, $D_x = 1.96$, $D_o = 1.99$ g.cm.⁻³. $\mu = 79.2$ cm.⁻¹ (Cu K_X). F(000) = 244. Space group: $C_{2b}^5 - P2_1/c$ (from systematic absences).

The intensities were determined photometrically on multiple-film integrated and non-integrated equiinclination Weissenberg photographs (Cu Kx), taken by rotation around [010]. Discontinuous absorption effects were corrected graphically by Albrecht's (1939) method, the size of the sample being: 0.10 mm. along [100], 0.30 mm. along [010], 0.06 mm. along [001].

Table 1. Number of observed and possible independent reflections with final R and R' indices

	Observed	Possible	R	R'
h01	79	116	9.8%	11·3°/
h1l	152	231	11.2^{-1}	14.2
h2l	130	208	$16 \cdot 1$	20.7
h3l	38	169	14.5	3 0·6
Overall	399	724	12.6	17.0

The numbers of observed and possible independent reflections are given in Table 1. The intensities of only a small number of h3l reflections were measured, as the corresponding photograph was faint in spite of the long exposure used (300 hr. for non-integrated photograph).

The structure amplitudes were derived by the usual formulae, the absolute scale for each layer being established first by Wilson's method, then by correlation with the calculated values.

Structure analysis and refinement

As two molecules are contained in a unit cell, the Ni atom must be located on a symmetry centre. Considering this and the lack of overlapping in the (010) projection, indicated by the short *b* edge, it was possible to foresce that nearly all the signs of h0l structure factors could be assumed positive. With this assumption, a $\rho(X, Z)$ projection was calculated which showed all the atoms well resolved. This projection was very similar to the final one; at the end of the refinement only six of the weakest observed h0l structure factors were negative.

For the determination of the y coordinate of the S atom, which dominates the F(hkl) structure factors with k+l odd, a $P_1(U, W)$ first-layer generalized Patterson projection was calculated. The set of coordinates of all atoms was then completed using the cosine, $C_1(X, Z)$, and sine, $S_1(X, Z)$, components of the $\varrho_1(X, Z)$ first-layer generalized projection. At this stage the complete information was available to calculate the three-dimensional distribution of the electron density.

The refinement was accomplished by four cycles of Booth's differential synthesis, two of them with isotropic and two with anisotropic thermal parameters. The refinement was considered at an end when the coordinate shifts were less than one half of the corresponding standard deviations for the light atoms.

Table 3. Thermal parameters (Å²)

	B_{11}	B22	B_{33}	B_{12}	B_{13}	B_{23}
Ni 👘	2.42	$2 \cdot 30$	2.65	-0.05	-0.14	-0.05
;	$2 \cdot 11$	2.23	3.08	-0.14	-0.49	-0.02
Š1 -	3.64	2.93	3.73	0.26	0.62	0.11
\tilde{s}_2	1.22	1.49	1.57	-0.35	-1.01	0.24
3	$2 \cdot 12$	2.35	3.58	0.02	-0.46	-0.08
2	1.81	$2 \cdot 21$	3.49	0.14	0.19	0.25

The final coordinates with their estimated standard deviations (Cruickshank, 1949) are reported in Table 2. The anisotropic thermal parameters obtained at the end of the refinement by Cruickshank's (1956) method are listed in Table 3. They must be considered only as additional parameters for improving the agreement between the observed and calculated quantities, correlation of the different layers and reflections with $k \geq 4$ being neglected. Observed and calculated structure factors are compared in Table 4, the corresponding R (observed reflections only) and R' values (including

Table 2. Final atomic coordinates and their e.s.d.'s

	x/a	y/b	z/c	x' (Å)	y' (Å)	$z'(\text{\AA})$	$\sigma(x)$ (Å)	$\sigma(y)$ (Å) (×10 ³)	$\sigma(z)$ (Å)
Ni	0	0.5000	0.2000	0	2.160	6.115		· _ /	
s	0.2663	0.6587	0.5030	2.041	2.846	6.916	3	5	3
N ₁	0.4354	0.9505	0.3362	3.337	4.106	3.890	ň	22	10
N_2	0.1409	0.8758	0.3143	1.080	3.784	3.772	10	16^{-1}	9
N_3	-0.0121	0.7376	0.3679	-0.131	3.186	4.508	11	20	10
С	0.2742	0.8490	0.3775	2.101	3.668	4.477	11	18	11

Transformation matrix from monoclinic x, y, z to orthogonal x', y', z' coordinates:

$/\sin\beta$	0	- 0'
0	1	- 0
$\cos \beta$	0	1

LUIGI CAVALCA, MARIO NARDELLI AND GIOVANNA FAVA

$Table \ 4. \ Observed \ and \ calculated \ structure \ factors$

A minus sign for F_o means 'less than'

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 1 \\ 3 & - & -1 \\ 9 & 1 \\ 1 & - & 7 \\ 11 & 1 \\ 2 & - & 7 \\ 12 & - & - & 3 \\ 13 & 6 \\ - & 3 \\ 13 & 6 \\ - & 3 \\ 13 & 6 \\ - & 3 \\ 13 & 6 \\ - & 3 \\ 13 & 6 \\ - & 3 \\ 13 & 6 \\ - & 3 \\ 13 & 6 \\ - & 3 \\ 13 & 6 \\ - & 2 \\ 2 & 8 \\ - & 8 \\ - & 1 \\ 1 & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 3 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 3 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 3 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 28 \\ - & 2$

.

		0	$-A_{hh}$	$-A_{kk}$	$-A_{ll}$	A_{hk}	A_{hl}	A_{kl}
Ni	obs.	56.10	$564 \cdot 1$	$343 \cdot 8$	519.2	-10.5	17.1	-4.3
	calc.	57.09	571.8	350.3	526.4	-6.3	14.0	- 3·3
\mathbf{s}	obs.	34 .00	345·1	209.0	321 .0	-4.6	3.3	$7 \cdot 2$
	cale.	31.98	$329 \cdot 6$	200.2	$305 \cdot 8$	-2.0	0.1	$6 \cdot 2$
N ₁	obs.	9.92	93 ·6	45.2	97.8	-3.2	6.8	0.5
·	cale.	9.23	$87 \cdot 9$	45·1	$92 \cdot 1$	-4.0	$7 \cdot 9$	$-1 \cdot 1$
Ν.,	obs.	11.37	110.2	62.7	101.4	0.2	$3 \cdot 2$	$1 \cdot 3$
2	calc.	11.65	113.7	64.4	102.9	1.1	- l·l	- 0.1
N_{2}	obs.	10.73	94.9	4 9·0	94 ·0	3.9	-10.7	-1.2
5	calc.	9.56	87.3	44.7	$85 \cdot 7$	$2 \cdot 6$	$-8\cdot 2$	$-2 \cdot 1$
С	obs.	9.88	97.2	54.7	88.2	1.6	$5 \cdot 2$	-5.4
	calc.	9.15	91.0	50.5	80.2	1.7	$3 \cdot 2$	-6.9

Table 5. Atomic peak heights (e.Å⁻³) and curvatures (e.Å⁻⁵)

 $F_o = \frac{1}{2}F_{\min}$, when $F_c > F_{\min}$, for unobserved reflections) are shown in Table 1. The e.s.d.'s of the electron density and of its first derivatives are:

$$\sigma(q) = 0.39 \text{ e.} \text{Å}^{-3};$$

 $\sigma(A_k) = 1.06, \ \sigma(A_k) = 0.99, \ \sigma(A_l) = 0.96 \text{ e.} \text{Å}^{-4}.$

The comparison between observed and calculated peak heights and curvatures is given in Table 5.

The atomic scattering factors used were those of Thomas & Umeda (1957) for Ni²⁺, of Dawson (1960) for S and of Berghuis *et al.* (1955) for O, N and C.

The calculations were performed on an IBM 650 computer using the programmes of Brown, Lingafelter, Stewart & Jensen (1959) for structure factors and Fourier syntheses, and those of Shiono (1957, 1959) for differential synthesis and refinement of thermal parameters.



Fig. 1. Projection of the structure on (010). The electron-density contours are from the map calculated with all the signs positive. First line at 3 e.Å⁻², 1 e.Å⁻² intervals for light atoms, arbitrary for Ni and S.

Discussion

Fig. 1 shows the projection of the structure on (010). Each complex molecule is formed by two thiosemicarbazide molecules planarly coordinated by a Ni atom. This could also be inferred from the diamagnetic behaviour ($\chi_M = -79.10^{-6}$ c.g.s.e.m.u.mol.⁻¹) and from the crystal data. The coordination occurs in a *trans* form through the sulphur and the hydrazinic nitrogen. Bond distances and angles with their e.s.d. (Ahmed & Cruickshank, 1953; Darlow, 1960) are shown in Table 6.

The Ni-S distance is considerably shorter than the sum of the covalent radii (2.25 Å, Pauling, 1945) but is fairly close to the value (2.18 Å) found in NiS (millerite) by Kolkmeijer & Moesveld (1931). The distances Ni-S in *tetra*-thiourea-nickel(II) chloride (2.45 Å, Cavalca, Nardelli & Braibanti, 1956) and in *bis*-thiourea-nickel(II) thiocyanate (2.51 and 2.57 Å, Nardelli, Braibanti & Fava, 1957) are much longer, but the difference must be related to the different nature of these bonds.

Table 6. Bond lengths and angles

Ni-S	$2.155 \pm 0.003*$ Å	N ₂ -Ni-S	$81.7 \pm 0.4^{\circ}$
Ni-N ₃	1.911 ± 0.014	Ni-S-C	102.9 ± 0.4
S-C	1.746 ± 0.013	S-C-N ₂	120.9 ± 1.0
C- N1	1.436 ± 0.017	C-N2-N3	109.8 ± 1.0
C-N,	1.247 ± 0.015	N ₉ -Ň ₂ -Ňi	$123 \cdot 8 \pm 0 \cdot 8$
No-No	1.537 ± 0.017	S-C-Ň,	122.3 ± 0.9
		N ₉ -C-N ₁	116.4 ± 1.1

* The e.s.d.'s for the bond lengths do not include the effects of errors in cell parameters. If these effects are accounted for, using the formula of Darlow & Cochran (1961), the starred value must be encreased by 0.001 Å.

The Ni-N₃ distance is a little longer than those reported for other square Ni(II)-complexes: 1.83 Å in phthalocyaninato (Robertson & Woodward, 1937); 1.90 and 1.98 Å in phthalocyaninato analogue (Speakman, 1953); 1.86 Å in *bis*-salicylaldoximato (Merritt, Guare & Lessor, 1956); 1.85 Å in bis-dimethylglyoximato (Williams, Wohlauer & Rundle, 1959); 1.84 Å in bis-salicylaldiminato (Stewart & Lingafelter, 1959); 1.84 Å in etioporphyrinato (Crute, 1959); 1.90 Å in bis-N-methylsalicylaldiminato (Frasson, Panattoni & Sacconi, 1959); 1.88 and 1.83 Å in bis-methylethylglyoximato (Frasson & Panattoni, 1960).

The angle on Ni in the chelate ring is close to that found in *bis*-dimethylglyoximato-nickel (83.0°) and in *bis*-methylethylglyoximato-nickel (82°).

The bond distances in the organic molecule indicate a nearly complete localization of a double bond between C and N_2 , so the complex can be formulated in the following way:



This was suggested by Jensen (1934) for the grey powder phase, supporting the hypothesis that the difference between red crystals and grey powder concerns only the molecular packing (Nardelli & Boldrini, 1961). The distances C-S and C-N₁ show only a little double-bond character. The N₂-N₃ distance is remarkably longer than that usually accepted (1.48 Å) for the N(sp^2)-N(sp^2) single bond. The cause of this difference may be that, according to the above formula, N₃ is in a sp^3 state.

The angles in the organic ligands are not very different from those found in *mono*-thiosemicarbazidezine chloride (Cavalea, Nardelli & Branchi, 1960), except that at N₂, which decreases from 133 to 110°. Unfortunately information on the structure of thiosemicarbazide itself is lacking, but if the angle on N₂ is assumed to be the theoretical sp^2 one the variation observed in the Zn- and in the Ni-complex can be explained by packing considerations. The tendency of the angle on Zn to assume the tetrahedral value



Fig. 2. Deformation of the CN_2N_3 angle caused by the coordination. The molecules supposed undeformed are dotted.

tends to increase it. For the Ni-complex, if it is assumed that no bonding interaction occurs between N₃ and S' of two adjacent thiosemicarbazide molecules, the angle on N₂ must decrease to maintain the Ni-N₃ and Ni-S distances at the values found and the N₃-S' contact (3.08 Å) consistent with the sum of the Van der Waals radii ($r_{\rm S}=1.60$, $r_{\rm N}=1.5$ Å) (Fig. 2).

The analysis of the planarity of the thiosemicarbazide molecule, shown in Table 7, indicates that the N_1 atom is a little out of the plane of the other atoms, but the distance has little statistical significance. The dihedral angle $SCN_1-SCN_2N_3$ is 174.8° ;

Table 7. Analysis of the planarity of the thiosemicarbazide molecule

Equation of the plane referred to orthogonal axes: $m_1 x' + m_2 y' + m_3 z' = d$

Best	plane*					
through		SN_1	N_2N_3C	$\rm SN_2N_3C$		
$\frac{m_1}{m_2}$		0-	1609	$0.1792 \\ -0.8756$		
		- 0-	8838			
1	n_3	- 0-	4393	-0.4485		
C	l	- 4	8300		4·8248	
Atom	σ_{\perp} † (Å)	⊿ (Å)	$arLambda/\sigma_{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{\bot}}}$	∕1 (Å)	$arDelta / \sigma_{f L}$	
\mathbf{s}	0.004	0	0	0.001	0.25	
N_1	0.050	0.029	1.45	0.083	(4.15)	
N_2	0.012	0.003	0.50	0.014	0.93	
N_3	0.019	0.012	0.63	-0.011	-0.58	
C	0.012	-0.040	-2.35	-0.018	- 1.06	
$\Sigma(\Delta/\sigma)$	$()^{2}$		8.06		2.39	
χ ² 95%	_		5.99		3 ·84	

* Least squares planes calculated following Schoemaker et al. (1959) using a diagonal weight matrix.

+ $\sigma_{\perp} = \{m_1^2 \sigma^2(x) + m_2^2 \sigma^2(y) + m_3^2 \sigma^2(z)\}^{1/2}.$

this lack of planarity, if real, can be considered as a packing distortion.

The whole complex molecule is not planar, as the ligands are slightly tilted with respect to the plane of coordination; the distance of the Ni atom from the plane SCN_2N_3 is 0.19 Å and to this corresponds a dihedral angle NiN₃S-SCN₂N₃ of 172.6°. This distortion is much smaller than that observed in phthalocyaninato-nickel analogue (Speakman, 1953) in which the *iso*-indole residues are tilted out of the coordination plane by 25°.

There is no indication of Ni-Ni bonding as there is in the *bis*-dimethylglyoximato-nickel crystals (Godycki & Rundle, 1953), nor can the coordination around Ni be considered octahedral, as the nearest atom to Ni, outside the bonded S and N₃, is N₃(x, y-1, z) at 3.67 Å, which is much too far to be considered bonded.

The shortest packing distances are listed in Table 8.

Table 8. Packing distances[†]

Ni-N ₃ $(x, y-1, z)$	$3.667 \pm 0.019 * \text{ Å}$
S $-S(1-x, 1-y, 1-z)$	$3.848 \pm 0.007*$
S $-N_1(1-x, 2-y, 1-z)$	3.372 ± 0.015
S - $N_1(1-x, 1-y, 1-z)$	$3.930 \pm 0.017*$
S - $N_3(x, 1-y, 1-z)$	3.080 ± 0.015
S $-N_3(\bar{x}, 2-y, 1-z)$	$3.655 \pm 0.016*$
S - $N_2(x, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z)$	3.992 ± 0.010
$N_3 - N_3(\ddot{x}, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$	$3 \cdot 627 \pm 0 \cdot 029$
$N_2 - N_3(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$	2.834 ± 0.018
$N_2 - N_3(x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z)$	$3.632 \pm 0.021*$
$N_2 - N_2(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$	3.372 ± 0.025
$N_1 - N_1 (1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$	$3 \cdot 221 + 0 \cdot 033$

[†] When the coordinates are not indicated the atom is at x, y, z.

* See remark for starred value in Table 6.



Fig. 3. Clinographic view of the packing of the complex molecules.



Fig. 4. Stereographic projections of the environments of: (a) N_3 , (b) N_2 , (c) N_1 .

Fig. 3 shows diagrammatically the relative positions of the complex molecules in half a unit cell. The packing is determined chiefly by the N₂-N₃($\bar{x}, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z$) = 2.83 Å contact, which can be interpreted as a hydrogen bond; this links together the complex molecules with their Ni atoms lying in planes of x = const. The shortest distance between molecules belonging to two of these adjacent planes is $N_1 - N_1(1-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z) =$ 3·22 Å.

No attempt was made to locate directly the H atoms. Nevertheless, their probable distribution around the N atoms was considered in order to confirm the formula proposed on the basis of observed bond distances. Fig. 4(a), which shows the environment of N₃, indicates that this atom is surrounded tetrahedrally by Ni, $S(\bar{x}, 2-y, 1-z)$, N₂, N₂($\bar{x}, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z$), so it is in favourable condition to direct the lone pair towards Ni and two H's towards $N_2(\bar{x}, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z)$ (2.83 Å) and $S(\bar{x}, 2-y, 1-z)$ (3.65 Å). The N₂ atom can act as a proton acceptor in hydrogen bonding, the N_3 donor not being far (0.55 Å) from the plane of the organic molecule (Fig. 4(b)). The angle formed by $N_2-N_3(\overline{x}, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z)$ and the SCN₂N₃ plane is 11.3°. A tetrahedral environment can be considered for N_1 as well, but there are some differences between the calculated and theoretical angles (Fig. 4(c)).

The authors are indebted to the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche for financial support, to the Centro Calcoli e Servomeccanismi della Università di Bologna for facilities in computing and to Prof. L. Sacconi who kindly measured the magnetic susceptibility of the compounds.

References

- AHMED, F. R. & CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1953). Acta Cryst. 6, 385.
- ALBRECHT, G. (1939). Rev. Sci. Instrum. 10, 221.
- BERGHUIS, J., HAANAPPEL, IJ. M., POTTERS, M., LOOP-STRA, B. O., MACGILLAVRY, C. H. & VEENENDAAL, A. L. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 478.

- BROWN, B. W., LINGAFELTER, F. C., STEWART, J. M. & JENSEN, L. H. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 350.
- CAVALCA, L., NARDELLI, M. & BRAIBANTI, A. (1956). Gazz. Chim. Ital. 86, 942.
- CAVALCA, L., NARDELLI, M. & BRANCHI, G. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 688.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1949). Acta Cryst. 2, 65.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 747.
- CRUTE, M. B. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 24.
- DARLOW, S. F. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 683.
- DARLOW, S. F. & COCHRAN, W. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 1250.
- DAWSON, B. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 403.
- FRASSON, E. & PANATTONI, C. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 893.
- FRASSON, E., PANATTONI, C. & SACCONI, L. (1959). J. Phys. Chem. 63, 1908.
- GODYCKI, L. E. & RUNDLE, R. E. (1953). Acta Cryst. 6, 487.
- JENSEN, K. A. (1934). Z. anorg. Chem. 221, 11.
- JENSEN, K. A. & RANCKE-MADSEN, E. (1934). Z. anorg. Chem. 219, 243.
- Kolkmeijer, N. H. & Moesveld, A. L. Th. (1931). Z. Kristallogr. 80, 91.
- MERRITT, Jr., L. L., GUARE, C. & LESSOR, Jr., A. E. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 253.
- NARDELLI, M. & BOLDRINI, P. (1961). Gazz. Chim. Ital. 91, 280.
- NARDELLI, M., BRAIBANTI, A. & FAVA, G. (1957). Gazz. Chim. Ital. 87, 1209.
- PAULING, L. (1945). The Nature of the Chemical Bond, 2nd ed. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- ROBERTSON, J. M. & WOODWARD, I. (1937). J. Chem. Soc., p. 219.
- SCHOMAKER, V., WASER, J., MARSH, R. E. & BERGMAN, G. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 600.
- SHIONO, R. (1957). Technical Report No. 2, University of Pittsburgh Computation and Data Processing Center.
- SHIONO, R. (1959). Technical Report No. 9, University of Pittsburgh Computation and Data Processing Center. SPEAKMAN, J. C. (1953). Acta Cryst. 6, 784.
- STEWART, J. M. & LINGAFELTER, E. C. (1959). Acta Crust. 12, 842.
- THOMAS, L. H. & UMEDA, K. (1957). J. Chem. Phys. 26, 293.
- WILLIAMS, D. E., WOHLAUER, G. & RUNDLE, R. E. (1959). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 81, 755.